

United States Patent and Trademark Office

-UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION		
10/619,392	07/14/2003	Hans-Ulrich Zuehlke	GK-OEH-160 / 7681 500814.20062		
7590 08/12/2005			EXAMINER		
Gerald H. Kiel, Esq. REED SMITH, LLP			HARAN, JOHN T		
599 Lexington		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
New York, NY		1733			
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/619,39	32	ZUEHLKE ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		John T. Ha	aran	1733				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no even. a reply within the statueriod will apply and witatute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be ti story minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron lication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this comm ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	14 July 2003.						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is n	on-final.		,			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
ŧ	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	ndrawn from con						
	ion Papers		•					
	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner			•			
·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		objected to by the	Examiner.				
<i>?</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection to							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	-,,	•	• •			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. No	te the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-	152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume ireau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Sta	nge			
Attachmen	at(s)		•					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🛛 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/14/03, 1/20/04</u> .			Patent Application (PTO-15	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/619,392

Art Unit: 1733

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 7/14/03 and 1/20/04 have been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillner et al (DE 1005916 A1) in view of Murar et al (U.S. Patent 6,579,402) and Kobayashi et al (US 2002/0108707 A1).

Gillner et al teaches a method for joining plastic structural components by means of laser radiation wherein a thin-walled plastic structural component for an automobile body part having a quality surface and being absorbent of laser radiation is formed and a second plastic structural part which is transparent to laser is welded to the side of the thin-walled plastic structural component that is opposite the quality surface by transmission radiation laser welding (See specification page 1, line 22 to page 2, line 20). Gillner et al is silent towards heating the transparent plastic structural component before welding in order to reduce the temperature gradient between the components and to melt the transparent plastic structural component faster.

Art Unit: 1733

It is generally well known in the welding art when joining plastic components, one of which has a quality or "Class A" surface to avoid damage to the quality or "Class A" surface, as shown for example in Murar et al. Murar et al teach that overheating when bonding plastic components of automotive interior parts that have a "Class A" surface can cause unwanted marring, burning, or warpage of the "Class A" surface (Column 12, lines 37-65). Accordingly one skilled in the art would have readily appreciated minimizing the amount of heat generated in the thin-walled plastic structural component in the method of Gillner et al in order to avoid damaging the quality surface.

It is also generally well known in the welding and bonding art to avoid the problems associated with welded and bonded components having different coefficients of thermal expansion by preheating one of the components in order to reduce the temperature gradient, as shown for example in Kobayashi et al. Kobayashi et al teaches using infrared transmission heating along with preheating in order to reduce the temperature gradient between the components to be joined and to effect a more efficient heating (See abstract and paragraphs 0060-0062). Accordingly one skilled in the art would have readily appreciated preheating the transparent plastic structural component in the method of Gillner et al in order to reduce the temperature gradient with the added benefit of heating the transparent plastic structural component quicker to effect a more efficient melting and welding of the components.

One skilled in the art looking at the prior art as a whole would have readily appreciated the problems associated with large temperature gradients and the potential of damage to a quality surface from overheating. Furthermore, one skilled in the art

would have readily recognized that preheating the transparent plastic structural component would reduce the temperature gradient, quicken the heating and melting to the transparent component such that the absorbent component need not absorb as much laser and thereby avoids being overheated and unnecessarily expanded to the point of damaging the quality surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to preheat the transparent plastic structural component part before welding in the method of Gillner in order to reduce the temperature gradient, quicken the melting of the transparent plastic structural component part, and limit the thermal expansion of the absorbent plastic structural component part as suggested by the prior art as a whole.

Regarding claims 2 and 3, one skilled in the art would have readily appreciated using a separate heat source, such as a laser at a different wavelength at which the transparent component absorbs laser radiation, in the method of Gillner et al and it would have been obvious to do so.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, it is conventional for transmission laser welding to be carried out at the near infrared radiation wavelength and it would have been obvious to do such in the method of Gillner et al.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Gillner et al teaches the transparent part serves as a reinforcer or fastener (Specification, page 1, lines 25-27).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,392 Page 5

Art Unit: 1733

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John T. Haran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1217. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8 - 5) and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Blaine Copenheaver can be reached on (571) 272-1156. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John T. Haran Primary Examiner Art Unit 1733